

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Potent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/677,152  | 10/02/2000  | Robert C. Gallo      | 4115-116 DIV 4          | 4147             |
| 23448 7590 05/06/2003<br>INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY / TECHNOLOGY LAW |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| PO BOX 1432   |             |                      | STUCKER, JEFFREY J      |                  |
| REDE: ITOS  |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 1648                    | 18               |
|   |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |               |
|--|--|---|---------------|
| our - Lation Cummons   |  | Croup Art Linit   |               |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Group Art Unit  |               |
| —The MAILING DATE of this communication appea  | rs on the cover she  | et beneath the correspondence addres  | s             |
| riod for Reply   | マ  |   |               |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T<br>THIS COMMUNICATION.   |  | MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING   |               |
| <ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a real of NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state</li> </ul> | eply within the statutory n  | inimum of thirty (30) days will be considered tim                           | ONTHS<br>ely. |
| eatus / /  | , _  |   | •             |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/27/6   | 3  |   | ·             |
|  |  | and the movies is alread  | n             |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19   | ot for formal matters, p<br>35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G   | prosecution as to the ments is closed (<br>, 213.                           |               |
| isposition of Claims   |  | the analisation in the applicat   | ion           |
| Claim(s) 40,42,44-49,68,71,73<br>Of the above claim(s) 45-49,68,71,973   | , <del>482-86</del>  | is/are pending in the applicat  | orotion       |
| Of the above claim(s) 45-49, 68, 71, 973   |  | is/are withdrawn from consid  | eration.      |
|  |  | is/are allowed.   |               |
| ☐ Claim(s) 40, 42, 44, \$2.86  |  | is/are rejected.  |               |
| Claim(s)   |  | is/are objected to.   |               |
| ☐ Claim(s)   |  | are subject to restriction or e requirement.                                | lection       |
| Application Papers   |  | requirements  |               |
| ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw  | ing Review, PTO-948  |   |               |
|  | is 🗌 appro   | ved $\square$ disapproved.  |               |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on  |  |   |               |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj   | ected to by the Exam   | ner.  |               |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj   | ected to by the Exam   | ner.  |               |
| <ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>  | ected to by the Exam   | ner.  |               |
| <ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>   | ected to by the Exam   | ner.  |               |
| <ul> <li>☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> </ul>  | ected to by the Exam  under 35 U.S.C. § 11   | ner.<br>9(a)-(d).   |               |
| <ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected.</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> </ul>                   | ected to by the Exam  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 of the priority docume                                | ner.<br>9(a)-(d).<br>ents have been   |               |
| <ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>   | ected to by the Exam  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 of the priority docume                                | 9(a)-(d). ents have been  |               |
| <ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>   | under 35 U.S.C. § 11 of the priority document  | 9(a)-(d). ents have been PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).                                |               |
| <ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>   | under 35 U.S.C. § 11 of the priority document  | 9(a)-(d). ents have been PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).                                |               |
| <ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>   | under 35 U.S.C. § 11 of the priority document  | 9(a)-(d). ents have been PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).                                |               |
| <ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>   | ected to by the Exam  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 of the priority docume  mber)  International Bureau ( | 9(a)-(d). ents have been  PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 |               |
| <ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>   | ected to by the Exam  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 of the priority docume  mber)  International Bureau ( | 9(a)-(d). ents have been PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).                                |               |

The state of the s

Art Unit: 1648

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 2/27/03.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.

This application contains claims 45-49, 68, 71, and 73 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the amendment filed 11/22/02 in response to a telephone conference on 11/20/02. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

The formal drawings filed 2/27/03 are acceptable.

The rejection of claims 40, 42, 44, and 82-86 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is withdrawn in view of the amendment.

The rejection of claims 40, 42, 44, and 82-86 under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a

Art Unit: 1648

credible asserted utility or a well established utility is maintained.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not Applicant argues that the claimed deemed to be persuasive. compositions have been effective in treating the effects of HIV infection, including weight loss, KS lesions, and  $CD4^+$  T cell Applicant further argues that applicants have provided sufficient tests results in the present application to show the efficacy of the claimed composition and methods in humans and animal models with reference to pages 145-152 of the instant specification with the assertion that the compositions of the present invention were tested on SIV infected monkeys and humans infected with HIV. This is not persuasive because the disclosure is not commensurate with the claimed invention. The claims are directed to compositions comprising various fractions purified from hCG containing samples. The specification teaches using hCG in The best that can be determined from the various treatments. The part of the disclosure is that hCG has some efficacy. specification cited only teaches hCG, not the claimed fractions. Assuming, arguendo, that  $\beta hCG$  or some fraction has some efficacy for treating the effects of HIV infection, claim 42 is directed a composition "effective in the treatment of HIV infection" which is contrary to applicant's arguments that the claims are directed to

Art Unit: 1648

treating the effects of HIV infection rather than HIV infection, per se. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

The rejection of claims 40, 42, 44, and 82-86 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention is maintained.

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive for the reasons set forth above.

The rejection of claims 40, 42, 44, and 82-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention is maintained.

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive for the reasons set forth above.

The rejection of claims 40, 42-44, and 82-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification,

Art Unit: 1648

5

while being enabling for the composition extracted from urine, does not reasonably provide enablement for all "native" sources is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims.

The following is a new ground of rejection necessitated by applicant's amendment.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

> The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 40 and 86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 40 and 86 are unclear because the language "at least one fraction" must be changed to "more than one fraction" or "at least two" because the composition requires at least hCG (77 kD) or  $\beta$ hCG (10 kD), and have a molecular weight (MW) of 40, 15, or 3 kD which makes for at least two fractions. The fraction can not comprise hCG or  $\beta$ hCG and have a MW or of 40, 15, or 3 kD.

Art Unit: 1648

The claimed invention is apparently free of the prior art of record.

No claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Papers related this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG (November 15, 1989).

The Group 1600 Fax numbers are: (703) 308-4242 and (703) 305-3014.

Unofficial communications may be faxed to: (703) 308-4426.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Stucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-4237. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Thursday from 7:00am to 5:00pm.

Art Unit: 1648

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel, can be reached on (703) 308-4027.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

JEFFREY STUCKER